



CITY OF WINTER GARDEN

CITY COMMISSION REGULAR MEETING MINUTES

February 14, 2019

REGULAR MEETING of the Winter Garden City Commission was called to order by Mayor Rees at 6:30 p.m. at City Hall, 300 West Plant Street, Winter Garden, Florida. An Opening Invocation and Pledge of Allegiance were given.

Present: Mayor John Rees and Commissioners

Lisa Bennett – District 1

Bob Buchanan – District 2

Mark A. Maciel – District 3

Colin Sharman – District 4

Also Present: City Manager Mike Bollhoefer, City Attorney A. Kurt Ardaman, City Clerk Angee Grimmage, Assistant City Manager of Administrative Services Frank Gilbert, Assistant City Manager of Public Services Jon Williams, Community Development Director Stephen Pash, Finance Director Laura Zielonka, Fire Chief Matt McGrew

1. **APPROVAL OF MINUTES**

Motion by Commissioner Sharman to approve regular meeting minutes of January 24, 2019 as submitted. Seconded by Commissioner Maciel and carried unanimously 5-0.

2. **OATH OF OFFICE OF NEW FIREFIGHTER, ASSISTANT CHIEF AND PROMOTIONAL ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

Fire Chief McGrew introduced and administered the oath of office to new Firefighter Angel Rivera, presented promotional acknowledgements to Fire Engineer Landon McPherson, and Fire Lieutenant Brandon Sabat. Fire Chief McGrew then introduced and administered the Oath of Office to new Assistant Chief of Training and EMS Carollee Burrell.

3. **FIRST READING AND PUBLIC HEARING OF ORDINANCES**

A. **Ordinance 19-10:** AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF WINTER GARDEN, FLORIDA, AMENDING ORDINANCE 18-32, THE CITY OF WINTER GARDEN FISCAL YEAR 2018-2019 BUDGET TO CARRY FORWARD PRIOR YEAR APPROPRIATIONS; PROVIDING FOR SEVERABILITY AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE

City Attorney Ardaman read Ordinance 19-10 by title only. City Manager Bollhoefer requested that this item be postponed to February 28, 2019 at 6:30 p.m.

Motion by Commissioner Buchanan to POSTPONE Ordinance 19-10 to the next City Commission meeting of February 28, 2019 at 6:30 p.m. Seconded by Commissioner Bennett and carried unanimously 5-0.

B. **Ordinance 19-11:** AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF WINTER GARDEN, FLORIDA AMENDING CHAPTER 38, ARTICLE II, DIVISION III OF THE CODE OF ORDINANCES OF THE CITY OF WINTER GARDEN REGARDING LOT CLEARING TO CREATE PROVISIONS RELATING TO LOT MAINTENANCE REQUIREMENTS FOR RECREATIONAL

FACILITIES, INCLUDING, WITHOUT LIMITATION, GOLF COURSES AND OPEN SPACE; PROVIDING FOR STANDARDIZED UPKEEP AND PROPERTY RELATED NUISANCE ABATEMENT REQUIREMENTS FOR RECREATIONAL FACILITIES, INCLUDING, WITHOUT LIMITATION, GOLF COURSES AND OPEN SPACE; PROVIDING FOR CODIFICATION, SEVERABILITY, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE

City Attorney Ardaman read Ordinance 19-11 by title only. Community Development Director Pash stated that this ordinance is an amendment to Chapter 38 of the City code. He noted that the amendment clarifies the sections and described some of the changes. Staff recommends approval.

Mayor Rees opened the public hearing; hearing and seeing none, he closed the public hearing.

Motion by Commissioner Buchanan to approve Ordinance 19-11 with the second reading and public hearing February 28, 2019. Seconded by Commissioner Bennett and carried unanimously 5-0.

4. SECOND READING AND PUBLIC HEARING OF ORDINANCES

- A. **Ordinance 19-08:** AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF WINTER GARDEN, FLORIDA, AMENDING CHAPTER 54, PENSIONS AND RETIREMENT, ARTICLE III, PENSION PLAN FOR FIREFIGHTERS AND POLICE OFFICERS, OF THE CODE OF ORDINANCES OF THE CITY OF WINTER GARDEN; AMENDING SECTION 54-193, DISABILITY; AMENDING SECTION 54-202, MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS; AMENDING SECTION 54-213, REEMPLOYMENT AFTER RETIREMENT; AMENDING SECTION 54-214, DEFERRED RETIREMENT OPTION PLAN; PROVIDING FOR CODIFICATION; PROVIDING FOR SEVERABILITY OF PROVISIONS; REPEALING ALL ORDINANCES IN CONFLICT HERE WITH AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE

City Attorney Ardaman read Ordinance 19-08 by title only. Assistant City Manager – Administrative Services Gilbert stated that this is the second reading and public hearing of Ordinance 19-08 as drafted by the Police and Firefighters’ Pension Board Attorney. It implements changes to the Internal Revenue Code and provides clarification made necessary by recent litigation relevant to those changes. He noted that the Firefighter and Police Pension Board approved these changes. He also noted that the Pension Board’s Actuary indicated no cost is associated with the adoption of the ordinance. Staff recommends adoption of Ordinance 19-08.

Mayor Rees opened the public hearing; hearing and seeing none, he closed the public hearing.

Motion by Commissioner Sharman to adopt Ordinance 19-08. Seconded by Commissioner Maciel and carried unanimously 5-0.

- B. Ordinance 19-09:** AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF WINTER GARDEN AMENDING CHAPTER 54, PENSIONS AND RETIREMENT, ARTICLE II, PENSION PLAN FOR GENERAL EMPLOYEES, OF THE CODE OF ORDINANCES OF THE CITY OF WINTER GARDEN; AMENDING SECTION 54-33, DISABILITY; AMENDING SECTION 54-41, MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS; AMENDING SECTION 54-49, DEFERRED RETIREMENT OPTION PLAN; AMENDING SECTION 54-52, RE-EMPLOYMENT AFTER RETIREMENT; PROVIDING FOR CODIFICATION; PROVIDING FOR SEVERABILITY OF PROVISIONS; REPEALING ALL ORDINANCES IN CONFLICT HERewith AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE

City Attorney Ardaman read Ordinance 19-09 by title only. Assistant City Manager – Administrative Services Gilbert stated that the proposed Ordinance 19-09 has been drafted by the General Employees’ Pension Board Attorney. It implements changes to the Internal Revenue Code and provides clarification made necessary by recent litigation relevant to those changes. He noted that the General Employees’ Pension Board has approved these changes and the Pension Board’s Actuary has determined that there is no cost associated with the adoption of the ordinance. Staff recommends approval of Ordinance 19-09.

Mayor Rees opened the public hearing; hearing and seeing none, he closed the public hearing.

Motion by Commissioner Bennett to adopt Ordinance 19-09. Seconded by Commissioner Buchanan and carried unanimously 5-0.

5. REGULAR BUSINESS

- A. Recommendation to approve authorization to dispose of Surplus Vehicles, Equipment and Materials**

Fleet and Facilities Division Manager Caines stated that approval is requested to dispose of assorted vehicles, equipment, and property which is no longer useable for various reasons. In addition, there has been an accumulation of scrap metal from old dumpsters and items collected from the public. The second part of the request is to approve an agreement with Trademark Metals Recycling for disposal of the scrap metal product. He noted that a list of some items were included in the agenda packet.

Motion by Commissioner Buchanan to approve authorization to dispose of Surplus Vehicles, Equipment and Materials, to include scrap metals as noted. Seconded by Commissioner Sharman and carried unanimously 5-0.

- B. Recommendation to deny a Site Plan for 1200 Daniels Road (Daniels Road Business Park) which did not meet all code requirements**

Community Development Director Pash stated that this is a recommendation for denial from staff and is an appeal for the applicant. He acknowledged that the applicant would

give a presentation of their case, and noted that staff would respond to their comments afterward.

City Attorney Ardaman clarified that what is being considered is the recommendation for the denial of the site plan.

Dan Roberts, 25613 Hawks Run Lane, Sorrento, Florida thanked the City Commission for the opportunity to appear before them. He described the location of property he owns in Winter Garden as being at the southwest corner of West State Road 50 and Daniels Road. He noted that he would be referencing a brochure which was distributed to the City Commission. He indicated that he was appearing without an attorney and stated that this was not a threat of any legal action. He informed that he has a genuine disagreement with staff and wanted to present his situation and argument for the City Commission consideration. He disclosed that he has not lobbied anyone on the City Commission and noted he has had only brief discussions with staff. He shared experiences and visits he has had with his family in the City of Winter Garden. He also provided history of properties he has owned and developed including business locations for SunTrust and Fairwinds banks. He shared that there were access roads included in the planning, between the two buildings, for future development.

Mr. Roberts referred the City Commission to the distributed information he provided and described information it contained. Some items he noted were components of the proposed site plan, as well as potential revenue and job creation the project would bring to the City.

Mr. Roberts noted that in their project they agreed to provide extensive landscaping consistent with the State Road 50 Overlay. He continued summarizing the information contained within each tabbed section of the information distributed and also described periodic pre-planning meetings and reviews by Development Review Committee (DRC). He noted that his specific focus would be on comments of January 29, 2019, which is the basis and summation of previous reviews.

Mr. Roberts addressed the issue of a distressed wetland on the property which he states has been a subject of conversation with the City for a number of months. He feels that is important to address this as there is information that should be seen and understood by the City Commission. He explained that the primary focus for the recommendation for denial is that Planning does not believe that his project's stormwater calculations are adequate to meet the needs of the City. He stated that they do not believe that approximately four acres of wetlands on the property should be eradicated. These are the two major issues he wanted to address and noted that there are other smaller engineering issues. They have overall met the engineering design pursuant to the City's code. He indicated that there have been no objections from neighbors and everyone

they have contacted have been in support of the project. He noted that they have met all conditions for utilities, traffic and fire, etcetera.

Mr. Roberts addressed the wetland issue, stating that the first comment received from planning was that the wetland could not be removed. It was commented that the City's code Section 94-131 prohibited the use of certain uses in wetlands. He referred to item four, noting an excerpt which stated any other use permitted by the St. Johns River Water Management District (SJRWMD), State Department of Environmental Protection or applicable environmental agencies. He stated for the record that it is important it be known that they have obtained their water management permit. Additionally, they have also obtained their jurisdictional permit from the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers which documents that no permit is required because the agency does not see the wetland as important enough to regulate.

Mr. Roberts referred to 1984 aerials of his property and noted a well-developed wetland on the property which was there until sometime between 1984 and 1987. He then referred to an aerial of the same property a few years later where the wetland had been deforested, the organics removed, and the property filled. He explained details of the process in order to make those types of changes, stating that the City would have known these changes were being made over that period of time. He described the location as being currently where Burger King and McDonald's dwell. He shared that the wetlands had been removed 15 years prior to his purchase of the property. He explained how he mitigated the property by the purchase of offsite credits. He also explained that the City has maintained that he could not go outside of the City to purchase mitigation, that he needed to purchase within the City. He referred to Florida State Statutes 373.4135 which stated that local governments shall not deny the use of a mitigation bank for an offsite regional mitigation due to its location outside of the jurisdiction of local government. He explained the purchase of mitigation credits and the purpose of their use. He expressed that he is doing the best he can to provide for the mitigation of this property that he owns in order to develop it.

Mr. Roberts referred to information containing environmental consultation discussions on the value of the existing wetlands and why they believe that the wetlands should be replaced and mitigated.

Mr. Roberts addressed the stormwater issue and stated that planning has said that they did not believe the design will adequately provide for stormwater facilities for a 100-year event. He acknowledged that in attendance were his consultant engineer, who is the designer of the project, as well as Dr. Krishnamurthy a consultant and former manager of the Orange County Stormwater Department. He noted that Dr. Krishnamurthy is very familiar with this particular area, geographically. Mr. Roberts expressed that they are not taking the City's comments lightly and are working to provide, what they feel, is adequate, minimum engineering that should satisfy the City

with regard to stormwater. At this time in the meeting Mr. Roberts recognized Steve Mellich to address the City Commission on his behalf.

Steve Mellich, partner of Mellich Blenden Engineering, Inc. of 500 North Maitland Avenue, Suite 304, Maitland, Florida 32751 stated that he is the President of Mellich Blenden Engineering. Mr. Mellich indicated that he would address, what he believes Community Development Director Pash may have meant in comments made regarding the designs not meeting all code requirements. He noted that because he is guessing regarding the comments that he be given an opportunity for addressing staff comments to be heard later in the meeting. Mr. Mellich noted that there are only three outstanding issues, per the code requirements, that have not been addressed. Two of those items they became aware of at the last Development Review Committee (DRC) meeting. One of which referred to the last pages of the fifth comments they received under planning. He noted a new comment under number 21, they received but states that planning now has an issue or concern about the building elevations as it faces Daniels Road. He stated that they have spoken to the architect and that is something they can work out. Comment number 22 regarding the landscape plan was noted as not being reflected in the new site plan; items that must have been missed. He believes that the comment of noncompliance with code is narrowed down to staff comment number 18; which has been there since the first round of comments. Mr. Mellich noted that they have been trying to work through this comment since January of 2016; as noted in their tab number 5.

Mr. Mellich stated that they have not been able to ascertain, through the numerous DRC meetings attended, as to what the planner's concerns are for the stormwater aspects of this project. He referred to planning number 18 (*DRC Comments - 1200 Daniels Road - January 28, 2019*), middle of second paragraph, which notes that the policies and regulations *do not permit wholesale eradication of wetlands for the purposes of commercial development, especially within a jurisdiction that is highly prone to flooding*. He stated that he has never seen an ordinance which states especially if it is high flooding. Mr. Mellich continued reading from the excerpt and stated that he is here as the civil engineer on the project and designed the stormwater management facilities. He informed that they have permitted his stormwater calculations through the St. Johns River Water Management District (SJRWMD) and through the City. He expressed that there are zero engineering comments on his stormwater design. He shared that they went above and beyond due to concerns regarding the 100-year flood plain. He noted that 13 different areas were analyzed for the impact of their project to the area. Mr. Mellich commented that his understanding and belief is that the entire issue for the recommendation for denial is that they do not want them to fill this already filled wetland. He explained how Dr. Krishnamurthy came to be a part of this item and recognized him to address this issue.

Dr. M. Krishnamurthy, an engineer and former employee of Orange County Stormwater Management stated that Mr. Dan Roberts hired him to independently review his engineer's report. He indicated that at that time he did not know anything about the project. He shared some history on Mr. Roberts contact with the City's Engineering consultant, inquiring of the concerns with respect to the stormwater in this project. Dr. Krishnamurthy stated that Art Miller, City Engineering Consultant, informed him that he wanted to make sure this project does not impact any downstream or upstream water in terms of flooding. He indicated that he then went to the site and asked Steve [Mellich] to give all of his backup data. Mr. Krishnamurthy stated that Mr. Mellich gave him a preliminary report at that time. Mr. Krishnamurthy stated that he met with Art Miller one more time and submitted the report and asked his comments as to whether all of his concerns has been addressed. He stated that all he heard at this time is that we will get back to you. After two years, Mr. Roberts came back to him and informed him that he has not heard any comments on the engineering aspects. After reviewing it again, at that time, he believed that what Mr. Mellich had done met the current standards. He noted that the water management district also gave a permit based upon the calculations. Dr. Krishnamurthy stated that it is of his professional opinion that this report shows both upstream property water and downstream property water does not have any negative impact.

Dan Roberts at this point in the meeting referred to a copy of the Steve Mellich stormwater study that was sent to the St. Johns River Water Management District (SJRWMD). He expressed that it was exhaustive and extensive. He shared that the water management district had very few comments and signed off on the study.

Mr. Roberts shared another point he felt to be important for the City Commission to know and describe an area of open ditches that were clogged. He shared that he informed staff that he offered to clean them, at no cost, if they affect his project. He expressed that he never got a response and feels he has done everything he could possibly do. He stated that his development will not create the problem and they cannot control what is downstream.

Mr. Roberts summarized by stating that the project has received State and Federal approvals, they have responded to all comments of the City, have agreed to all conditions set by engineering. They have provided verifiable evidence that the subject wetland was destroyed and filled between 1984 and 1987, noting that the aerial was Orange County's and not theirs. The water management district report and two very qualified environmental consultants have shown the wetland is isolated and of such poor quality that it is better to be removed and purchase mitigation in a healthy, well maintained mitigation bank. He shared that he has committed more than \$175,000, to date, on mitigation credits with Lake Louisa Bank and the Hammock Lake Bank. Their project has met the State Road 50 Overlay standards and they have absolutely no opposition from the public. They will generate over \$200,000 a year in real estate taxes.

He noted the potential creation of jobs, addition to the economy, and have provided building submittals to the DRC for comment. He expressed that he felt his project has been placed in purgatory and the only way to get out was to have his project brought before the City Commission for approval or denial. He pleaded for assistance in this matter and expressed that if the City Commission is not ready to make a decision tonight, that their vote be extended until the next meeting. He expressed that if the City Commission feels he has a compelling argument, he would appreciate their support.

Community Development Director Pash distributed a copy of the St. Johns River Water Management District (SJRWMD) permit, as well as two DRC memorandums.

City Attorney Ardaman noted that staff is prepared to respond to all comments that have been made, however, the applicant did ask the City Commission for a continuance. He noted that it is thought that it might be in the City Commission's best interest to honor that request and continue to the next meeting. The meeting can be picked up at the hearing from staff and make a decision at that point.

Mayor Rees noted that they could hear from staff but this information would not be digested this evening.

City Manager Bollhoefer noted that it is the City Commission's decision whether they hear from staff tonight. **Commissioner Buchanan** noted that the information would need to be reviewed and then they could ask questions. **Mayor Rees** asked staff if there was anything that they would like the City Commission to know tonight. **Mr. Pash** responded that their presentation would only take approximately five minutes. **Mayor Rees** requested that staff go ahead and present so that the City Commission could have time to ask their questions during the next two weeks. **City Attorney Ardaman** informed that questions needed to be asked during this proceeding as it is effectively a quasi-judicial related matter. **Mayor Rees** and **Commissioner Sharman** expressed their desire to hear staff's presentation.

Community Development Director Pash stated that the items he distributed are two DRC memorandums from a previous application under a different applicant, who was the owner at that time and was the same owner as well for the St. Johns River Water Management District (SJRWMD) permit. He stated that DRC has reviewed this project a number of times, under the current applicant as well as previous applicants. He noted that the comment was the same and planning has very big concerns about a wetland being completely eradicated and filled in. He noted that it was also made clear in the last DRC meeting that this is not a stormwater issue, this about the eradication of a wetland. He stated that they have never been provided justification as to why they should go against code and allow eradication of a wetland and have it developed. He noted that because they have not been provided any justification is why DRC

recommended denial of the site plan. Mr. Pash recognized Planning Consultant Ed Williams to address the wetlands issue further.

Planning Consultant Ed Williams displayed a diagram which he explained shows the property is owned by two entities and controlled by Mr. Roberts. Mr. Williams noted that the display shows the wetland lines as well as the uplands. He expressed that it has been noted by staff that they would work with the applicant to develop the uplands, but not the wetlands. He indicated that the wetlands are designated on the comprehensive plan and is a very important designation.

Mr. Williams explained that the applicant has a misunderstanding of getting a water St. Johns River Water Management District (SJRWMD) permit giving them carte blanche to take out any wetland; that is not true. He explained and read an excerpt from the permit which he said states, in numerous places, *that this permit does not convey to the permittee any property rights or any rights or privileges other than those specified herein, nor relieve the permittee from complying with any law, regulation or requirement affecting the rights of other bodies or agencies.* Mr. Williams stated that local approval is needed. Mr. Williams explained that the St. Johns River Water Management District (SJRWMD) does not approve subdivisions, or commercial shopping centers; they approve impact to the wetland. The City decides if that impacted wetland can have a shopping center on it or not. Mr. Williams addressed comments made by Mr. Roberts at previous DRC meetings stating that there is no wetland. Mr. Williams expressed that if there were no wetlands there would be no need for two different mitigations on the property. Mr. Williams indicated that there is a real concern by staff when the proposal is to fill nine or ten feet of the property to get it up to a development level. Mr. Williams read another excerpt from the permit and noted that the permit does not allow the applicant to ignore the City's regulations.

Mr. Williams informed the City Commission that the City hired Amy Daly with CPH, Inc. an Environmental Scientist, who works in this area and he has known for many years. He explained that she prepared a report, that staff has now entered into the record, which clearly goes through the provisions of how local governments have the determination as to whether something can be built upon or not. He explained that in the event it was determined that the property could be built upon, the St. Johns River Water Management District (SJRWMD) permit and mitigation would then become appropriate. He noted that although the City would prefer not to have mitigation banks, the City cannot prohibit it. However, the City could encourage the applicant to do onsite mitigation and they could then go to the St. Johns River Water Management District (SJRWMD) to seek approval. Staff has highlighted Ms. Daly's report and the local government's comprehensive plan controls. There has never been a permit issued to remove this area. Mr. Williams stated that the City's plan states that this is a wetland, however it is impacted, it goes onto other property, and there is a concern with the last remaining wetlands of the City being filled. He noted that there is plenty of buildable

area on the property without encroaching on the wetland and staff believes the City's code would restrict the development to the uplands portion of the property.

There was discussion on continuing the meeting until the next City Commission meeting to allow the City Commission time for review. **City Attorney Ardaman** clarified that if the City Commission approves a motion to continue this until next meeting, then the meeting would begin with allowing the applicant to rebut, then City Commission and public comments or questions, and then a decision will be made by the City Commission.

Motion by Commissioner Buchanan to POSTPONE the recommendation to deny a site plan for 1200 Daniels Road (Daniels Road Business Park) which did not meet all code requirements, until February 28, 2019 at 6:30 p.m.

City Attorney Ardaman cautioned the City Commission to base their decision on the items presented during this hearing. He advised that any other information obtained between now and the next hearing be noted and disclosed.

Motion seconded by Commissioner Bennett and carried unanimously 5-0.

C. Recommendation to approve special event - Annual Evening at the Pops by The Rotary Club of Winter Garden at Newton Park on March 2, 2019

Community Development Director Pash stated that this is the application for the annual Evening at the Pops special event. He noted that they are requesting permission to hold the event on Saturday, March 2nd with a rain date of Sunday, March 3rd at Newton Park and Tanner Hall. The event would be the same as previous years, with hours from 2:00 p.m. to 9:00 p.m. He noted that this will also include the sale of beer and wine. Staff recommends approval.

Motion by Commissioner Buchanan to approve Annual Evening at the Pops by The Rotary Club of Winter Garden at Newton Park on March 2, 2019, with a rain date of Sunday, March 3, 2019. Seconded by Commissioner Sharman and carried unanimously 5-0.

D. Recommendation to approve special event for the American Legion Post 63 "Ruck Walk" at Plant Street Market (426 W. Plant Street) November 16, 2019 from 7:30 a.m. to 11:00 p.m., with street closure and subject to conditions

Community Development Director Pash stated that this is the 3rd Annual "Ruck Walk" which is a special event for the American Legion. They request holding this event same as last year. It will be held November 16th at the Plant Street Market. He described the location of the street closure and noted that there will be live music. Also noted are beer and wine sales in a designated area. The event will be held from 11:00 a.m. to

11:00 p.m. with sign-in and preparations starting at 7:00 a.m. Staff recommends approval of the event.

Mayor Rees inquired as to any past issues. There were none noted by Mr. Pash. There was discussion on the event.

Motion by Commissioner Sharman to approve special event American Legion Post 63 “Ruck Walk” at Plant Street Market (426 W. Plant Street) on November 16, 2019 from 7:30 a.m. to 11:00 p.m., with street closure and subject to conditions. Seconded by Commissioner Maciel and carried unanimously 5-0.

6. MATTERS FROM PUBLIC

Joseph Richardson, 220 N. Highland Avenue, Winter Garden, Florida addressed a recent news story concerning the City’s current invocation policy. He also spoke of the process the City uses to choose invocators.

7. MATTERS FROM CITY ATTORNEY – There were no items.

8. MATTERS FROM CITY MANAGER

City Manager Bollhoefer thanked staff for their efforts at the Blues N’ BBQ event. He noted that there was just under 17,000 attendees and shared that this year the area was expanded to accommodate the crowd, but a change in location may occur next year. He again thanked the employees and noted the quality of their work, and their polite and friendly attitude.

City Manager Bollhoefer also addressed news interviews and how incomplete presentations of what was stated could change the context.

MATTERS FROM MAYOR AND COMMISSIONERS

Commissioner Sharman shared that he would be allowing everyone on the prayer list an opportunity when it is his turn to choose an invocator.

Commissioner Maciel thanked staff for their efforts in the Blues N’ BBQ event and called it a world class event.

Commissioner Buchanan noted the crowd and thought it was a fantastic event.

Commissioner Bennett shared her experience at the Blues N’ BBQ event and how the next day staff made the area and streets appear as though nothing happened; she commended staff.

Mayor Rees remarked on the Blues N' BBQ event and shared his experience. He also commended staff and noted their phenomenal attitude which he states is much appreciated. He wished everyone a Happy Valentine's Day.

The meeting adjourned at 8:02 p.m.

APPROVED:

/S/
Mayor John Rees

ATTEST:

/S/
City Clerk Angee Grimage, CMC